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#### Abstract

We report a phosphine-catalyzed activation of electron-deficient vinylcyclopropanes (VCPs) to generate an ambident $C_{5}$ synthon that is poised to undergo consecutive reactions. The utility of the activation is demonstrated in a phosphine-catalyzed rearrangement of vinylcyclopropylketones to cycloheptenones in good yields with a broad substrate scope. Mechanistic investigations support a stepwise process comprising homoconjugate addition, water-assisted proton transfer, and 7-endo-trig $S_{N} 2^{\prime}$ ring closure.


Phhosphine catalysis ${ }^{[1]}$ has emerged as a reliable and powerful platform for the construction of structurally diverse carboand heterocycles. Lu's $[3+2],{ }^{[2]}$ Kwon's $[4+2]^{[3]}$ and Tong's $[4+1]^{[4]}$ annulations represent seminal advances in the area, which have inspired a myriad of cyclization reactions ${ }^{[5]}$ including asymmetric variants. ${ }^{[6]}$ To date, phosphine-catalyzed annulations typically rely on electron-deficient alkenes, alkynes, allenes, and their derivatives (Scheme 1). ${ }^{[1 e]}$ These
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Scheme 1. Substrates of phosphine-catalyzed annulations.
precursors serve as effective $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ synthons for generating various cyclic structures, especially five- and six-membered ring systems. However, phosphine-catalyzed formation of seven- or eight-membered rings ${ }^{[7]}$ are comparatively underdeveloped, despite their great potential in natural product synthesis. ${ }^{[8]}$

[^0]In an effort to expand phosphine catalysis, we hypothesized that electron-deficient vinylcyclopropanes (VCPs) 1 might serve as a complementary $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ synthon capable of producing value-added medium-sized ring structures (Scheme 1). Mechanistically, regioselective homoconjugate addition ${ }^{[9]}$ of a phosphine to VCPs 1 may generate an allylic phosphonium intermediate $\mathbf{A}$. By virtue of the leaving-group ability of the phosphonium, the alkene of $\mathbf{A}$ can be rendered electrophilic for a potential $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction, thus making it possible use it as a $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ surrogate under phosphine catalysis.

VCPs ${ }^{[10]}$ have drawn tremendous interest in contemporary organic synthesis due to their unique and versatile reactivity. Transition-metal coordination has played a prominent role in the catalytic activation of VCPs, ${ }^{[10 c]}$ and complexes of rhodium, palladium, nickel, and others have facilitated a diverse range of $[3+n]^{[11]}$ and $[5+n]^{[12]}$ cycloadditions. A thiyl-radical-catalyzed activation of VCPs has also been demonstrated to effect [3+2] annulations. ${ }^{[13]}$ To our knowledge, the organocatalytic Lewis base triggered activation of VCPs remains thus far unexplored. Stemming from our interest in Lewis base catalysis, ${ }^{[14]}$ we herein report a phos-phine-catalyzed activation of electron-deficient VCPs and its utility in an efficient rearrangement of vinylcyclopropylketones to cycloheptenones in good yields with a broad scope (see below).

We began by examining the reactivity of vinylcyclopropane $1 \mathbf{a}^{[15]}$ with 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by NMR tracking (Scheme 2). Significantly, the reaction in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cleanly generated zwitterion $\mathbf{2 a}$ in $40 \%$ yield after 24 h . It is likely that $\mathbf{2 a}$ is derived from the putative intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ through a double-bond migration (see the Supporting Information). We reasoned that the vinyl of $\mathbf{A}$ would lend itself easily to eventual annulation reactions.

In principle, the direct cyclization of intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ through a 5-endo-trig $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ pathway would result in a vinyl-cyclopropane-cyclpentene $\quad(\mathrm{VCP}-\mathrm{CP})$ rearrangement, ${ }^{[16]}$ which was not observed, however, presumably because it is disfavored by Baldwin's rules ${ }^{[17]}$ (Scheme 2). Instead, when


Scheme 2. Initial investigation.

1,1-diacetyl-2-vinylcyclopropane 1b was employed, we were pleased to observe an unprecedented phosphine-catalyzed rearrangement of vinylcyclopropylketones to cycloheptenones (Table 1). Heating $\mathbf{1 b}$ with $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in toluene

Table 1: Investigation of the conditions. ${ }^{[a]}$

[a] Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and indicated temperature, to a solution of $\mathbf{1 b}$ ( 0.5 mmol ) in the solvent $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added the catalyst $(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Dihydrofuran $2 \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ was obtained in $37 \%$ yield. [d] 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added. [e] Under strictly anhydrous conditions. [f] $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst was used. [g] $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading. [h] 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{P}^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ was adopted.
at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h resulted in the formation of 2-acetyl-4cycloheptenone $\mathbf{2 b}$ in $10 \%$ yield as keto-enol tautomers (keto/enol $=1: 2$; entry 1 ). Replacing $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ with electron-rich triarylphosphines or trialkylphosphines enhanced the efficiency (entries 2-6), among which $\mathrm{P}^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ stood out giving $85 \%$ yield of the product. The reaction is reminiscent of divinylcyclopropane-cycloheptene rearrangement under thermal conditions. ${ }^{[18]}$ However, the lack of reactivity in the absence of phosphines suggests the crucial role of the catalyst (entries 7 and 8 ). Of note, DABCO as the catalyst produced a small amount of 2b ( $14 \%$ ) together with dihydrofuran product $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in $37 \%$ yield (entry 9). ${ }^{[14 a]}$ Solvent screening indicated that toluene was the best, while acetonitrile, DMSO, acetone, dichloromethane, and THF all provided diminished yields or trace amounts of the product (entries 10-14). A lowered temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was insufficient to promote the reaction (entry 15). It was found the rearrangement could tolerate 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, giving a comparable $82 \%$ yield, whereas strictly anhydrous conditions led to a decreased $69 \%$ yield, thus suggesting that water may play a role in the reaction (see below; entries 16 and 17). Lowering the catalyst loading to $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ could still furnish $72 \%$ yield; however,
increasing that to $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ or 1.0 equiv decreased the yield to $71 \%$ or $22 \%$, respectively, and oligomerization of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was observed (entries 18-20).

The scope of the phosphine-catalyzed rearrangement was then investigated (Table 2). Substitution at either internal or

Table 2: Investigation on substrate scope.




2m ( $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ ), $71 \%$, dr 1.5:1
2n ( $R^{3}=E t$ ), 60\%, dr 2.3:1
$20\left(R^{3}=\operatorname{Pr}\right), 62 \%$, dr 2.3:1
2p ( $\left.\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{iPr}\right), 61 \%$, dr 3.5:1
2q ( $\left.\mathrm{R}^{3}={ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 60 \%$, dr 2.2:1
$2 \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}={ }^{n} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 61 \%$, dr 2.3:1
2s ( $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Bn}$ ), 62\%, dr 2.0:1
2t ( $\mathrm{R}^{3}=$ allyl), $67 \%$, dr 1.9:1


2u ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$ ), 53\%, dr 2.1:1
2v (R = iPr), 68\%, dr 2.0:1


2w, 85\%, dr 2.3:1
[a] Conditions: under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was carried out with $\mathrm{P}^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in toluene for $24-36 \mathrm{~h}$, except that for $\mathbf{2 h}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$, DABCO ( $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was used as the catalyst, and for $2 \mathbf{j}-\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ ( $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was employed as the catalyst and PhCl or DMSO as the solvent.
external positions ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) of the vinyl group were tolerated, affording the corresponding cycloheptenones $\mathbf{2 c - g}$ in $47-88 \%$ yields with enol isomers as the major product. Introduction of a phenyl on the acetyl fragment $\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, in combination with a benzoyl electron-withdrawing group (EWG), led to the formation of $\mathbf{2 h}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ in $90 \%$ and $62 \%$ yields, respectively. Of note, DABCO was a superior catalyst in these two cases. Substrates with an amide group ( $\mathrm{EWG}=$ CONHAr) also worked well under similar conditions ( $\mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}$, PhCl or $\mathrm{DMSO}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and the corresponding products $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{t}$, including those bearing varied $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ substituents, were generated in $60-71 \%$ yields. The structure of $\mathbf{2 j}$ was unequivocally established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (CCDC 1816803 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge
from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.). Finally, it was found that ketoester substrates were also competent, the rearrangement of which afforded cycloheptenones $\mathbf{2 u - w}$ in $53-85 \%$ yields. Notably, amide- and ester-functionalized products $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{w}$ exist only as keto isomers, albeit as mixtures of diastereomers favoring trans configuration, which was confirmed by 2D NOESY.

Collectively, the above results suggest a broad scope of the phosphine-catalyzed rearrangement of vinylcyclopropylketones, which allows controlled synthesis of $2-, 3-$, or $4-$ substituted cycloheptenones. Since cycloheptenones are ubiquitous, though synthetically challenging, units in natural products and biologically active molecules, ${ }^{[8]}$ this reaction thus offers a mild method for accessing this kind of mediumsized carbocycles. In order to underline the usefulness of this method, treatment of diketone 2b with hydrazines or hydroxylamine led to the formation of pyrazole- or oxazolefused cycloheptenes 3 in good yields (Scheme 3a). Benzyne insertion into ketoester $\mathbf{2 v}$ was also achieved to access a ninemembered carbocycle 4 in $52 \%$ yield (Scheme 3b). ${ }^{[19]}$


Scheme 3. Diversification of products.

A plausible mechanism is illustrated in Figure 1. Initial regioselective attack of $\mathrm{P}^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ on vinylcyclopropane $\mathbf{1 b}$ through the well-known homoconjugate addition ${ }^{[9,14 a]}$ produces zwitterionic intermediate A. Species $\mathbf{A}$ then undergoes a proton transfer to shuttle the anion to the methyl carbon, leading to the formation of intermediate $\mathbf{B}$. Finally, a favored 7-endo-trig $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ cyclization ${ }^{[17]}$ furnishes the product 2b and releases the catalyst.

Although the formation of 2a (Scheme 2) could be supportive to the mechanism, several mechanistic studies


Figure 1. A proposed catalytic cycle.
were conducted to gain further insight. To inspect the protontransfer step, a deuterated substrate $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}-d_{3}\left(91 \% \mathrm{D}\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ was subjected to the standard conditions, which produced a deuterated product $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}-d_{2}$ in $39 \%$ yield with significant loss of deuterium (Scheme 4 a ). ${ }^{[20]}$ In addition, it was found that the presence of 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the rearrangement of nondeuterated $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ led to full deuteration at the $\alpha$-methylene of the product (Scheme 4 b ). ${ }^{[21]}$ These results suggest that the proton transfer is presumably stepwise and assisted by trace amount of water in the solvent. ${ }^{[22,23]}$



Scheme 4. Deuterium-labeling investigations.

Furthermore, $\mathrm{a}^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR tracking experiment was conducted to verify the essential role of the phosphine catalyst (Figure 2). When substrate $\mathbf{1 j} \quad(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ $(0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ toluene $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , two new signals at $\delta 62.8$ and 64.1 ppm were observed apart from the peaks of $\mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}(62.1 \mathrm{ppm})$ and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}(61.0 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{[24]}$ This result strongly supports the involvement of the phosphine in the rearrangement. The new signals presumably correspond to the proposed intermediates of type $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ (Figure 1). ${ }^{[25]}$

In summary, we have expanded phosphine catalysis to encompass the activation of electron-deficient VCPs. This has been utilized in an unprecedented phosphine-catalyzed rearrangement of vinylcyclopropylketones to cycloheptenones in good yields with a broad scope. Mechanistic investigations including deuterium labeling and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR


Figure 2. ${ }^{31}$ P NMR tracking on the rearragement of $\mathbf{1 j}$.
tracking support a stepwise mechanism comprising homoconjugate addition, water-assisted proton transfer, and 7-endo-trig $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ ring closure. This organocatalytic activation not only enriches the reactivity of VCPs, but also introduces a new subset of phosphine catalysis by supplying a distinct $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ synthon. Future efforts will focus on a detailed survey of mechanism and exploring intermolecular reactivity of the phosphine-catalyzed activation of electron-deficient VCPs.
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