



Cation-Substitution-Tuned Oxygen Electrocatalyst of Spinel Cobaltite MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) Hexagonal Nanoplates for Rechargeable Zn-Air Batteries

Peng Tan,^{1,2,=} Zhen Wu,^{2,3,=} Bin Chen,^{2,4} Haoran Xu,² Weizi Cai,² Shaowei Jin,⁵ Zongping Shao,^{6,7} and Meng Ni^{2,z}

¹Department of Thermal Science and Energy Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

²Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

³Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Energy Chemical Process Intensification, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

⁴Institute of Deep Earth Sciences and Green Energy, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

⁵National Supercomputing Center in Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518055, China

⁶State Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering, College of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing 211816, China

⁷Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia

The spinel cobalt oxide (Co_3O_4) nanoplate exposed with hexagonal {111} facets is demonstrated to be a highly active catalyst, while the effect of cation substitution on the oxygen electrocatalysis is still unclear. Herein, the electrocatalytic activity of cation-substituted spinel cobaltite MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) nanoplates with the {111} facets is investigated systematically by experiments and theoretical calculations. For both oxygen reduction and evolution reactions, Ni-substituted Co_3O_4 hexagonal nanoplates show the best activity. It is mainly attributed to the increased surface energy per unit area and the enhanced oxygen species absorption ability, which are also evidenced by density functional theory calculations. Moreover, the three kinds of MCo_2O_4 nanoplates are applied in Zn-air batteries and the corresponding electrochemical performance is tested. Among the three batteries, NiCo_2O_4 hexagonal nanoplates also enable the highest peak power density of 110.3 mW cm^{-2} and the most stable discharge-charge voltage profiles for 50 cycles, indicating that NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates are the promising catalyst for further Zn-air battery applications. Besides, this work illustrates that the substitution of Co by Ni or Fe can remarkably change the electronic structural states, thus tuning the electrochemical properties of the hexagonal Co_3O_4 nanoplates.

© 2019 The Electrochemical Society. [DOI: [10.1149/2.1311914jes](https://doi.org/10.1149/2.1311914jes)]

Manuscript submitted August 23, 2019; revised manuscript received September 20, 2019. Published October 11, 2019.

With the rapid demand of energy in electronic devices, the electrochemical energy storage systems have attracted great research attention, especially metal-air batteries (e.g., Li-air and Zn-air batteries).^{1–5} Based on the mechanism of oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), metal-air batteries can provide significantly higher capacities (e.g., 3862 mAh g^{-1} for Li-air batteries) than conventional Li-ion batteries, which can enable much higher duration for electronic devices.^{6,7} Thus, effective catalysts with high activity toward oxygen electrocatalysis are the key to the implementation of this technology.⁸ Although Pt exhibits the excellent ORR activity, the high price and the limited stability have hindered its wide application.⁹ In addition, for rechargeable metal-air batteries, high activity toward the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is essential,¹⁰ which is unfortunately absent in Pt.^{11,12} Therefore, developing newly effective catalysts with high electrochemical performance toward both ORR and OER is crucial for energy conversion systems.^{13–15}

To date, considerable interests have been put on transition metals and their oxides.^{16–19} Among them, the spinel cobalt oxide (Co_3O_4) is the most studied one owing to its high activity, high capacitance, and low cost.^{20–25} For example, Chen et al. reported Co_3O_4 nanodisks as a bifunctional catalyst in Zn-air batteries, which exhibited highly efficient electrochemical performance and superior rechargeability, and no voltage drops were observed over 60 pulse cycles.²⁶ Yan et al. synthesized Co_3O_4 nanoarrays on nickel foam substrates with different morphologies and found that the rectangular nanosheets exhibited superior electrocatalytic performance compared with nanowires and hexagonal nanosheets, leading to the higher capacity and better cycling stability of Li-O₂ batteries.²⁷ Wang et al. fabricated single crystalline Co_3O_4 nanocrystals exposed with different crystal planes. Combining experimental and theoretical investigations, they showed that the essential factor to promote the OER in Li-O₂ batteries is the surface

crystal planes, which is in the order of $\{100\} < \{110\} < \{112\} < \{111\}$.²⁸ However, the relatively low electrical conductivity affects electron transport, leading to lower electrochemical performance than expectations.²⁹

To overcome this issue, modifications on Co_3O_4 have been reported, such as combining with other materials to form composites^{30–32} and partially replacing Co to form ternary spinel cobaltites.^{33–38} As Co_3O_4 has an intrinsic spinel structure with Co^{2+} and Co^{3+} occupying the tetrahedral and octahedral interstices, the partial substitution of Co by the transition metals can provide ion diffusion channels and change the electrical conductivity. Liu et al. fabricated spinel MCo_2O_4 with the porous nanorod structure in which Co was substituted by Mn, Fe, Ni, and Zn. Attributed to the highest Co^{3+} ratio on the FeCo_2O_4 surface, oxygen could be easily adsorbed onto the active sites and reduced. Consequently, the FeCo_2O_4 cathode enabled the lowest overpotential, the highest capacity, and the best cycling performance of Li-O₂ batteries.³⁹ Jun et al. investigated the effect of cation substitution (Mn, Ni, and Cu) on the pseudocapacitive performance of spinel cobaltite mesoporous nanowires, and found that MnCo_2O_4 could achieve the highest specific capacitance due to the enhanced charge transfer and ion diffusion.⁴⁰ Although Co_3O_4 nanoplate exposed with {111} facets has been demonstrated to be an effective catalyst, the effect of cation substitution on the oxygen electrocatalysis of spinel cobaltite with {111} facets remains unclear.

Herein, we systematically investigated the electrocatalytic activity of spinel cobaltite MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) nanoplates with the hexagonal morphology by experiments and theoretical calculations in this work. The spinel cobaltite MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) nanoplates with {111} facets were first synthesized and characterized. Then, the electrochemical performance toward the ORR and OER processes was investigated in the alkaline solution to discuss the effect of cation M substitution on the oxygen electrocatalytic activity. Further, Zn-air batteries with MCo_2O_4 nanoplates as catalysts were tested, including the discharge and charge polarization, power density, and cycling stability. This work serves to reveal the

⁼These authors contributed equally to this work.

^zE-mail: meng.ni@polyu.edu.hk

mechanism of cation substitution, and provides strategies for developing high active catalysts for energy conversion systems.

Method

Material synthesis and characterization.—The spinel cobaltite MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) nanoplates were synthesized using a modified method for fabricating Co_3O_4 nanoplates.^{41,42} Briefly, 1 mmol of metal nitrates ($\text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) and 2 mmol of cobalt nitrate were dissolved in 30 mL of distilled water. Then, the NaOH solution was slowly dropped with stirring until the pH value reached 10. The mixture was vigorously stirred for 10 min at room temperature and transferred to Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclaves. After hydrothermal reaction at 180°C for 4 h, the samples were washed with distilled water and dried at 60°C overnight. The obtained precursors were calcined in air at 300°C for 3 hours with a temperature ramp of 1°C min^{-1} .

The compositions of the synthesized samples were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Smartlab) with a $\text{Cu-K}\alpha$ source at 40 keV. The morphologies were observed by a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL 2100F) under the voltage of 200 kV. To determine the geometrical properties, nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured by ASAP 2020, and the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda methods were used to determine the specific surface area and pore structure, respectively.

To evaluate the electrochemical properties of MCo_2O_4 nanoplates in alkaline media, a three-electrode cell with 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution was applied, and the measurements were conducted using a Solartron potentiostat by rotating disc electrode (RDE) voltammetry. To prepare the working electrode, the catalyst ink with MCo_2O_4 nanoplates was first made according to our previous work,⁴² which was then dripped onto the polished glassy carbon electrode and dried completely, and the catalyst loading was estimated to be 0.2 mg cm^{-2} . The counter and reference electrodes were a platinum wire and a Hg/HgO electrode, respectively. The ORR activity was measured in the oxygen-saturated electrolyte. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was conducted within the potential from 0.2 to -0.7 V (vs. Hg/HgO) using a scan rate of 5 mV s^{-1} , and the rotation speed changed from 400 to 2500 rpm. The Koutecky-Levich equation was used to determine the number of electrons transferred (n):⁴³

$$j^{-1} = j_k^{-1} + j_d^{-1} \quad [1]$$

$$j_d = 0.2nFD_{\text{O}_2}^{2/3}v^{-1/6}C_{\text{O}_2}\omega^{1/2} \quad [2]$$

where j , j_k , and j_d are the measured, kinetic, and diffusion-limiting current densities, respectively. F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol^{-1}), D_{O_2} and C_{O_2} are the diffusion coefficient ($1.86 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and the bulk concentration ($1.21 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$) of oxygen in 0.1 M KOH , respectively. v is the kinematic viscosity of 0.1 M KOH ($1.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), and ω is the angular velocity (rpm). The ORR stability test was performed by recording the current density at a constant potential of -0.465 V (vs. Hg/HgO). The OER activity was measured within the potential from 0.2 to 0.9 V (vs. Hg/HgO) using a scan rate of 5 mV s^{-1} at a rotation speed of 1600 rpm. The OER stability test was performed through recording the potential at constant current density of 10 mA cm^{-2} . To clearly present the results, all potentials were calibrated to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale.⁴⁴

Theoretical calculations.—The DFT calculations using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) were performed to theoretically investigate the effect of substitution Fe or Ni on the oxygen electrocatalytic activity of Co_3O_4 oxide with spinel structure.^{45,46} During the calculations of total energy (TOTEN), the generalized-gradient approximation (GGA)-PBE functional was adopted to estimate the exchange-correlation energy (an important component of the TOTEN),⁴⁷ and the self-consistent field (SCF) iteration method was used to solve the Kohn-Sham (K-S) equation.⁴⁸ During the iteration process, the Pulay mixture density was chosen to address the electron

relaxation in the SCF approximation.⁴⁹ The spin polarization was considered for DFT calculations because of the transition metals Ni, Fe, and Co with magnetism involved in the MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Co}, \text{Ni}, \text{and Fe}$) spinel oxides. The geometry optimization of the initial MCo_2O_4 crystal structures was performed to get the most stable structures prior to the calculations of TOTEN and electronic properties. The computational modeling of the MCo_2O_4 crystal structure exposed with the {111} facets is described in Supporting Information (SI) and the corresponding models are demonstrated in Fig. S1 according to the experimental SEM morphology.

A plane wave basis set was used with the cutoff energy of 320 eV, and Monkhorst-Pack k-point was set as $3 \times 3 \times 3$ for the crystal structures of MCo_2O_4 bulk. For the corresponding {111} facets, 500 eV and a $6 \times 6 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack k point mesh were chosen for the cutoff energy and the Brillouin zone integration, respectively. The tolerances of energy and force were set as 10^{-5} eV and $0.02 \text{ eV}\cdot\text{\AA}^{-1}$, respectively. On the basis of the previous reports, the GGA+ U (Hubbard U model) approach with the U_{eff} values of 3.52,⁵⁰ 4.0,⁵¹ and 4.0 eV⁵² was applied for a better description of the 3d electrons of the element Co, Ni, and Fe in our calculations, respectively. The valence-electron configurations for the Co, Ni, Fe, and O were chosen as $3d^74s^2$, $3d^84s^2$, $3d^64s^2$, and $2s^22p^4$, respectively.

Battery assembly and test.—A catalyst ink was made by mixing 50 wt% of active carbon (Vulcan XC 72), 25 wt% of active material (MCo_2O_4 nanoplates), and 25 wt% of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) binder with ethanol to form a homogenous mixture. The air electrode was prepared by spraying the ink onto a gas diffusion layer (carbon paper, Toray 060), and the catalyst loading was 2 mg cm^{-2} . A Zn-air battery was composed of a Zn foil as the metal electrode, the as-prepared air electrode, and 6 M KOH with 0.2 M zinc acetate as the electrolyte.²⁵ The charge and discharge polarization curves of the battery were measured at a current step of 1 mA s^{-1} . The cycling stability was tested at 5 mA cm^{-2} with a fixed time interval of 20 min for each cycle.

Results and Discussion

Phase and crystal structure characterization.—Figures 1a to 1c show the XRD patterns of MCo_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$) nanoplates, which reveal the peaks of (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), and (440) corresponding to FeCo_2O_4 (JCPDS #71-0816), Co_3O_4 (JCPDS #42-1467), and NiCo_2O_4 (JCPDS #73-1702), respectively.³⁹ The TEM images are shown in Fig. 2 to present the morphologies and structures of MCo_2O_4 nanoplates. From the low-magnification images in Figs. 2a, 2d, and 2g, hexagonal-shaped nanoplates with diameters of $\sim 150 \text{ nm}$ and thicknesses of $\sim 20 \text{ nm}$ are observed, demonstrating that after the substitution of other metal ions, the hexagonal morphology is preserved. In addition, the nanoplates have a porous structure, which is attributed to the release of water molecules and volume shrinkage during the calcination process.⁵³ From Figs. 2b, 2e, and 2h, it is interesting to find that with the substitution element changes from Fe to Ni, the size of nanoparticles that form the hexagonal plates decreases, resulting in smaller pore size. To confirm this observation, nitrogen isothermal adsorption-desorption measurements were conducted, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. All of the samples exhibit combined I/IV isotherms with distinct hysteresis loops, indicating the coexistence of micropores and mesopores. The specific surface areas of FeCo_2O_4 , Co_3O_4 , and NiCo_2O_4 are calculated to be 76.0, 81.5, and $85.9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, respectively. From Fig. 3 insets, the pore size distribution of FeCo_2O_4 , Co_3O_4 , and NiCo_2O_4 mainly range from 2–50, 2–15, and 2–10 nm, and the corresponding pore volumes are calculated to be 0.42, 0.35, and $0.30 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$, respectively, which are consistent with the TEM observations. The high-resolution TEM image displayed in Fig. 2c shows the interplanar spacings of 0.243 and 0.285 nm, which correspond to the (311) and (220) planes of FeCo_2O_4 . The interplanar spacing shown in Fig. 2f is indexed to the (220) crystal planes of the Co_3O_4 crystal. The interplanar spacing of 0.245 nm shown in Fig. 2i agrees well with the (311) plane of




Figure 1. XRD patterns of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates. (a) FeCo_2O_4 , (b) Co_3O_4 , and (c) NiCo_2O_4 .




Figure 2. TEM images of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates with different magnifications. (a–c) FeCo_2O_4 , (d–f) Co_3O_4 , and (g–i) NiCo_2O_4 .




Figure 3. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates, and the insets show the pore size distribution. (a) FeCo_2O_4 , (b) Co_3O_4 , and (c) NiCo_2O_4 .




Figure 4. XPS spectra of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates. (a) Fe 2p, (b) Ni 2p, and (c) Co 2p.

NiCo_2O_4 . Thus, porous $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates with hexagonal shapes were successfully synthesized.

To characterize the valence states of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates, XPS measurements were carried out, and the results are shown in Fig. 4. Figs. 4a and 4b show the XPS spectra of Fe 2p and Ni 2p, respectively. In Fig. 4a, two peaks are observed at 711.1 and 724.3 eV, which are ascribed to the spin-orbit peaks of the Fe $2p_{3/2}$ and Fe $2p_{1/2}$, respectively. In addition, a satellite peak at 715.8 eV is characterized to Fe^{2+} .³⁹ These results indicate that Fe^{2+} is the main species on the surface of FeCo_2O_4 . Fig. 4b shows the Ni 2p XPS spectrum, in which the two observed peaks of Ni $2p_{3/2}$ and Ni $2p_{1/2}$ at 853.8 and 871.9 eV are ascribed to Ni^{2+} , and at 855.6 and 873.8 eV are ascribed to Ni^{3+} , indicating the mixed valence of Ni in NiCo_2O_4 . Fig. 4c shows the Co 2p spectra of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ ($M = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{and Ni}$). These two peaks at around 780.0 and 795.5 eV are ascribed to Co^{3+} , and other two peaks at around 781.1 and 796.4 eV are ascribed to Co^{2+} , demonstrating the coexistence of Co^{2+} and Co^{3+} on the surface.

Electrochemical performance.—The ORR activity of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates with the hexagonal morphology was tested in the 0.1 M KOH electrolyte. Figs. 5a–5c present the LSV curves of FeCo_2O_4 ,

Co_3O_4 , and NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates at different rotating rates, and the insets present the corresponding Koutecky-Levich plots. For three samples, a linear increase of the current density is observed with an increase of the rotating rate, and the average number of electrons transferred is calculated to be ~ 4 , indicating a four-electron ORR process. To compare the effects of element substitution on the ORR activity, the LSV curves at the rotating speed of 1600 rpm are compared, as shown in Fig. 5d, and the corresponding Tafel plots are presented in Fig. 5e. The Co_3O_4 nanoplate exhibits an onset potential of 0.82 V and a limiting current density of 4.87 mA cm^{-2} (0.2 V vs. RHE), and the Tafel slope is $114.3 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$. After the substitution of Fe, the onset potential decreases to 0.65 V, the limiting current density decrease to 4.72 mA cm^{-2} , and the Tafel slope becomes $119.7 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$. In comparison, the substitution of Ni improves the onset potential to 0.83 V, and the limiting current density and Tafel slope become 4.91 mA cm^{-2} and $100.8 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$, respectively. Hence, the FeCo_2O_4 nanoplate presents the reduced ORR activity while the NiCo_2O_4 nanoplate exhibits improved ORR activity. Moreover, the stability of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates during the ORR process was measured by recording the current density at 0.2 V for 10 h. As shown in Fig. 5f, NiCo_2O_4 nanoplate preserves 93.3% of its initial current density,




Figure 5. ORR activity of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates. (a–c) LSV curves at rotating speeds from 400 to 2500 rpm, and the insets show the corresponding Koutecky-Levich plots: (a) FeCo_2O_4 , (b) Co_3O_4 , and (c) NiCo_2O_4 . (d) Comparison of the LSV curves at a scan rate of 5 mV s^{-1} and a rotation rate of 1600 rpm. (e) The corresponding Tafel plots. (f) Current change at a constant potential of 0.2 V (vs. RHE).

Table I. The relaxed surface areas and surface energies per unit cell of spinel MCo_2O_4 {111} facets.

MCo_2O_4 {111} facets	Cross-section area parameters		Relaxed surface area (\AA^2)	Surface energy (J)	Surface energy per unit area (J m^{-2})
	$a = b$ (\AA)	γ			
Co_3O_4	5.797	120.0°	29.09	7.88E-19	2.71
NiCo_2O_4	5.767	119.1°	29.05	8.52E-19	2.93
FeCo_2O_4	5.785	119.3°	29.18	4.68E-19	1.60

higher than Co_3O_4 (83.5%) and FeCo_2O_4 (80.0%). Thus, NiCo_2O_4 demonstrates the best ORR performance among these three spinel cobaltite MCo_2O_4 .

Through the density functional theory (DFT) calculations, it was found that the superior ORR performance of NiCo_2O_4 is mainly attributed to the increased surface energy per unit area (E_{surf}) and the enhanced oxygen species absorption ability caused by the Ni substitution. The calculation equation of E_{surf} is expressed in Eq. S3 (Supporting Information).²⁸ Table I lists the calculated surface energies of MCo_2O_4 oxides. The value of pure Co_3O_4 oxides is calculated to be 2.71 J m^{-2} , which agrees well with the previously reported surface energy per unit area of Co_3O_4 (2.31 J m^{-2}).⁵⁴ The small difference may be ascribed to the consideration of GGA+ U approach used in our calculations. The Hubbard U model is usually considered to more accurately predict the electronic properties of transition metal oxides involving d and f orbital electrons.^{55,56} When the element Ni is substituted for Co, the surface energy of NiCo_2O_4 oxide increases to 2.93 J m^{-2} , indicating an enlarged density of low-coordinated atoms with high reactivity on the surface.⁵⁷ The high-energy surface is also regarded to have a great contribution to facilitating the oxygen ion transfer between the surface and the interior.^{58,59} Consequently, the Ni-substituted Co_3O_4 oxide exposed with higher surface energy demonstrates better catalytic activity than the pristine surface with lower surface energy. In contrast, the substitution of Fe for Co reduces the spinel Co_3O_4 surface energy per unit area to 1.60 J m^{-2} . Therefore, the substitution of Ni facilitates the catalytic activity of spinel Co_3O_4 hexagonal nanoplates, while the Fe substitution has a negative effect on the catalytic activity, in accordance with our experimental results. In addition, the prediction on the cohesive energy and occupation energy (Table S1) was also found that the Ni substitution enhances the activity while the Fe substitution stabilizes the Co_3O_4 oxide, leading to different ORR performance of MCo_2O_4 .

On the other hand, the O_2 molecule adsorption on the facet of hexagonal NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates is the most energetically favorable among the three kinds of MCo_2O_4 nanoplates. Fig. 6 shows the O_2 adsorption pathways on the MCo_2O_4 hexagonal facets and the cor-

responding adsorption energy, which visualize the O_2 adsorption and dissociation steps. The corresponding O_2 adsorption energy of the NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates is calculated to be $-2.381 \text{ eV f.u.}^{-1}$, lower than those of Co_3O_4 ($-0.798 \text{ eV f.u.}^{-1}$) and FeCo_2O_4 ($-0.550 \text{ eV f.u.}^{-1}$). In addition, the NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates have the best catalysis activity on the O_2 molecule dissociation into 2O^* atoms and the adsorption of the O^* atoms on the {111} facet, which can be confirmed by the lowest energy ($-2.880 \text{ eV f.u.}^{-1}$) for the NiCo_2O_4 hexagonal facet bonding with 2O^* atoms. In contrast, the O_2 adsorption, dissociation, and the O^* adsorption on the Co_3O_4 nanoplates are slightly reduced after the Fe substitution. The adsorption energy for both O_2 molecule and O^* atom is increased to -0.550 and $-0.725 \text{ eV f.u.}^{-1}$, respectively. The increase in the adsorption energy (negative value) shows the weakened interactions between the surface and the adsorbates. The adsorption configurations of O_2 and O^* atoms on the NiCo_2O_4 hexagonal facet are also demonstrated in Fig. 6. The initial length of the O–O bond is 1.505 \AA , but slightly elongates to 1.518 \AA after the stable adsorption on the NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates. After the O_2 dissociation into 2O^* atoms, the relaxed O–O bond length is greatly enlarged into 3.093 \AA , which is two times as long as the original one. Thus, the NiCo_2O_4 can firmly absorb O_2 molecule and successfully dissociate O_2 into 2O^* atoms. Fig. 7 shows the partial DOS (PDOS) of the adsorbate O^* atoms on the MCo_2O_4 nanoplates. Here, the Fermi level (E_{F}) is set as zero and used as a reference for energy. It can be confirmed in Fig. 7 that the dissociative O^* atoms are stable in the Co–Ni bridge and Ni–Ni bridge sites with the bond lengths of 1.740 \AA for Co–O1, 1.771 \AA for Ni–O1, 1.815 \AA for Ni–O2, and 1.990 \AA for Ni–O2.

As seen clearly from Fig. 7b, the stronger bonding interaction between Ni/Co and O atoms are confirmed by DOS results. The significant overlaps of almost all the PDOS peaks between Ni1/Ni2 3d and O1/O2 2p orbitals and between Co 3d and O1 2p orbitals appear in the energy region of about $-7.5 \text{ eV} \sim E_{\text{F}}$. The DOS peak overlaps indicate strong hybridizations between Ni/Co 3d and O 2p orbital electrons in the O^* atoms adsorption system of the NiCo_2O_4 , which contribute to the Ni–O and Co–O bonding with the corresponding lengths of $1.771 \sim 1.990 \text{ \AA}$ and 1.740 \AA . Thus, the substitution of Ni causes the smaller Co^{3+} –O bonding lengths (from $1.865 \sim 2.021 \text{ \AA}$ to $1.771 \sim 1.990 \text{ \AA}$), generating stronger bonding interaction. Accordingly, the amounts of charge transfer of Ni (substituting for Co) and O increase from $1.22 \sim 1.26 \text{ e}$ to $1.60 \sim 1.71 \text{ e}$ and from $0.85 \sim 1.01 \text{ e}$ to 1.09 e , respectively (Table S2). Although the elongated Co^{2+} –O length from 1.727 to 1.740 \AA indicates the reduced Co^{2+} –O bonding effect after the addition of Ni, the ORR catalytic activity of Ni-doped Co_3O_4 nanoplates is still regarded to be better than that of the pristine surface. That is mainly because the Co^{3+} is the main active sites in ORR for the MCo_2O_4 spinel structure.^{39,60} In comparison, the Fe–O bonding effect was found to be weak in the FeCo_2O_4 nanoplates, which can be confirmed by the small PDOS overlap between Fe 3d and O 2p orbitals and the accordingly elongated Fe–O bonding lengths shown in Fig. 7c, as well as the decreased charge transfer between Fe and O listed in Table S2. It has been reported that the O_2 adsorption process plays a crucial role in the initial stage and thus affects the overall ORR catalytic performance.⁶¹ Therefore, the enhanced oxygen species absorption ability is also viewed to be one of the reasons why Ni-substituted Co_3O_4 spinel with hexagonal morphology has superior ORR performance.

In addition to the ORR performance, the OER performance of MCo_2O_4 nanoplates was also evaluated, and results are shown in Fig. S2. As the LSV results shown in Figs. S2a and S2b, the Co_3O_4




Figure 6. O_2 adsorption pathways on the MCo_2O_4 {111} facets and the corresponding adsorption energies. The relative TOTEN of MCo_2O_4 surface + O_2 molecule in the initial stage is uniformly set to zero.




Figure 7. PDOS of the adsorbate O* atoms on the MCo₂O₄ nanoplates: (a) Co₃O₄, (b) NiCo₂O₄, and (c) FeCo₂O₄.

nanoplate achieves the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² at 1.638 V (vs. RHE) and exhibits a Tafel slope of 70.3 mV dec⁻¹. For the FeCo₂O₄ nanoplate, the potential at 10 mA cm⁻² reduces to 1.620 V, and the Tafel slope decreases to 61.5 mV dec⁻¹. Thus, the substitution of Fe into Co₃O₄ improves the OER activity. For the NiCo₂O₄ nanoplate, the potential at 10 mA cm⁻² and the Tafel slope further decrease to 1.571 V and 52.0 mV dec⁻¹, respectively, demonstrating the enhanced activity. The OER stability of these three kinds of MCo₂O₄ nanoplates was measured by recording the potential at 10 mA cm⁻² for 10 h. As shown in Fig. S2c, the potential of NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates increases from 1.571 V to 1.579 V, with an increase of 8 mV. Similarly, FeCo₂O₄ nanoplates exhibit an increased potential of ~5 mV. However, for Co₃O₄ nanoplates, the potential increases from 1.638 V to 1.705 V, showing a remarkable potential change of 67 mV. The results illustrate that the substitution of Co by Fe or Ni can improve the OER performance MCo₂O₄ nanoplates, and NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates exhibit the best OER performance.

Application in Zn-air batteries.—Previous research has demonstrated that Co₃O₄ nanoplates can be effective catalysts in rechargeable Zn-air batteries.^{26,42} From the electrochemical performance of MCo₂O₄ hexagonal nanoplates with {111} facet in the alkaline solution, different ORR and OER activity are exhibited. To illustrate the practical application, MCo₂O₄ hexagonal nanoplates were used as the catalysts in home-made Zn-air batteries, and the performance is shown in Fig. 8. The discharge polarization curve of the battery with NiCo₂O₄ exhibited the highest voltages, followed by that with Co₃O₄, while the battery with FeCo₂O₄ shows the lowest discharge voltages. Consequently, the peak power density of the battery with NiCo₂O₄ reaches 110.3 mW cm⁻², while those of the batteries with Co₃O₄ and FeCo₂O₄ are 102.0 and 97.2 mW cm⁻², respectively. For the charge process, as illustrated by the polarization curves in Fig. S3, the battery with NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates delivers the lowest voltages, which reaches 2.50 V when the current density increases to 83 mA cm⁻². For the batteries with Co₃O₄ and FeCo₂O₄, the charge voltages are similar, and the one with FeCo₂O₄ exhibits little lower voltages, consistent with the electrochemical performance demonstrated in the alkaline solution.

The electrochemical stability of MCo₂O₄ nanoplates in rechargeable Zn-air batteries was further investigated by a pulse discharge-charge test. To avoid the corrosion of carbon support caused by the high potential⁶² and make a fair comparison of MCo₂O₄ nanoplates, a relatively small current density of 5 mA cm⁻² was applied. As shown in Fig. 9a, the initial discharge and charge voltages of the battery with FeCo₂O₄ are 1.203 and 1.996 V, respectively, with a voltage gap of 0.793 V. While after 50 cycles, the discharge and charge voltages be-

come 1.108 and 2.026 V, and the voltage gap increases to 0.918 V. Similar results can be observed in the battery with Co₃O₄ nanoplates, from which the voltage gap increases from 0.840 to 0.949 V after 50 cycles (Fig. 9b). For the battery with NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates, the initial voltage gap is 0.814 V, and only increases to 0.879 V at the 50th cycle, with an increase of 65 mV (Fig. 9c). Thus, NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates demonstrate the best discharge and charge performance, as well as the cycling stability, indicating the promising applications in rechargeable Zn-air batteries.

Conclusions

In summary, spinel cobaltite MCo₂O₄ (M = Fe, Co, and Ni) hexagonal nanoplates exposed with the {111} facets were investigated systematically by experimental and theoretical analyses. In experiments, NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates exhibited the highest onset potential of 0.83 V, the largest limiting current density of 4.91 mA cm⁻², and the lowest Tafel slope of 100.8 mV dec⁻¹ for the oxygen reduction reaction among these three samples, in contrast to the FeCo₂O₄ nanoplates. Thus, the catalytic activity rank is Ni > Co > Fe for the cation substitution. The DFT calculations reveal that Ni substituting for Co helps to increase the surface energy from 2.71 to 2.93 J m⁻² and contributes to enhancing oxygen adsorption ability. The stronger bonding interaction between surface and adsorbate in NiCo₂O₄ nanoplates accounts for the




Figure 8. Discharge polarization and the corresponding power density of Zn-air batteries with MCo₂O₄ nanoplates as the catalyst.




Figure 9. Cycling stability of Zn-air batteries with $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates at 5 mA cm^{-2} (10 min for discharge and 10 min for charge). (a) FeCo_2O_4 , (b) Co_3O_4 , and (c) NiCo_2O_4 .

better oxygen electrocatalytic activity, which is consistent with the experimental results. In addition, the oxygen evolution reaction performance of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates was examined, among which NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates also showed the best activity. Furthermore, the electrochemical performance of three kinds of $M\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoplates was tested in Zn-air batteries. The NiCo_2O_4 , Co_3O_4 , and FeCo_2O_4 nanoplates enabled the peak power density of 110.3, 102.0, and 97.2 mW cm^{-2} , respectively, and the battery with NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates showed the best charge performance. After operating for 50 discharge-charge cycles, the voltage gap of the battery with NiCo_2O_4 nanoplates increased only 65 mV, demonstrating the best stability among these three samples. Thus, the results illustrate that the substitution of Co by transition metals (Ni and Fe) in spinel Co_3O_4 can remarkably change the electronic structural states and tune the electrochemical properties. Among them, NiCo_2O_4 hexagonal nanoplates exhibit the best ORR/OER activity and stability, which can be a promising catalyst for rechargeable Zn-air batteries and other energy conversion systems.

Acknowledgements

P. Tan thanks the funding support from CAS Pioneer Hundred Talents Program and USTC Tang Scholar. Z. Wu thanks the funding support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21736008) and Hong Kong Scholar Program (XJ2017023). M. Ni thanks the funding support from The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (G-YBJN and G-YW2D), a fund from RISUD (1-ZVEA), and a grant (Project Number: PolyU 152214/17E) from Research Grant Council, University Grants Committee, Hong Kong SAR.

ORCID

Meng Ni  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5310-4039>

References

- B. Chen, H. Xu, H. Zhang, P. Tan, W. Cai, and M. Ni, *Appl. Energy*, **200**, 260 (2017).
- H. Xu, B. Chen, P. Tan, H. Zhang, J. Yuan, J. Liu, and M. Ni, *Energy*, **140**, 979 (2017).
- Y. Li and H. Dai, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **43**, 5257 (2014).
- Z.-L. Wang, D. Xu, J.-J. Xu, and X.-B. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **43**, 7746 (2014).
- M. Winter and R. J. Brodd, *Chem. Rev.*, **104**, 4245 (2004).
- M. A. Rahman, X. Wang, and C. Wen, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **160**, A1759 (2013).
- J. Christensen, P. Albertus, R. S. Sanchez-Carrera, T. Lohmann, B. Kozinsky, R. Liedtke, J. Ahmed, and A. Kojic, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **159**, R1 (2012).
- D. Chen, C. Chen, Z. M. Baiyee, Z. Shao, and F. Ciucci, *Chem. Rev.*, **115**, 9869 (2015).
- P. Tan, M. Liu, Z. Shao, and M. Ni, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **7**, 1602674 (2017).
- P. Tan, H. R. Jiang, X. B. Zhu, L. An, C. Y. Jung, M. C. Wu, L. Shi, W. Shyy, and T. S. Zhao, *Appl. Energy*, **204**, 780 (2017).
- C. Tang, H.-F. Wang, X. Chen, B.-Q. Li, T.-Z. Hou, B. Zhang, Q. Zhang, M.-M. Titirici, and F. Wei, *Adv. Mater.*, **28**, 6845 (2016).
- X. Liu, M. Park, M. G. Kim, S. Gupta, X. Wang, G. Wu, and J. Cho, *Nano Energy*, **20**, 315 (2016).
- D. U. Lee, P. Xu, Z. P. Cano, A. G. Kashkooli, M. G. Park, and Z. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **4**, 7107 (2016).
- P. Tan, B. Chen, H. Xu, H. Zhang, W. Cai, M. Ni, M. Liu, and Z. Shao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **10**, 2056 (2017).
- P. Tan, B. Chen, H. Xu, H. Zhang, W. Cai, M. Chen, and M. Ni, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **166**, A616 (2019).
- N.-T. Suen, S.-F. Hung, Q. Quan, N. Zhang, Y.-J. Xu, and H. M. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **46**, 337 (2017).
- Z.-F. Huang, J. Wang, Y. Peng, C.-Y. Jung, A. Fisher, and X. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **7**, 1700544 (2017).
- P. Tan, B. Chen, H. Xu, W. Cai, M. Liu, Z. Shao, and M. Ni, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **165**, A2119 (2018).
- M. Xiong and D. G. Ivey, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **164**, A1012 (2017).
- Q. Dong, N. Kumada, Y. Yonesaki, T. Takei, and N. Kinomura, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, **46**, 1156 (2011).
- Y. Sun, J. Liu, J. Song, S. Huang, N. Yang, J. Zhang, Y. Sun, and Y. Zhu, *ChemCatChem*, **8**, 540 (2016).
- W. Gou, X. Zhou, J. Li, and Y. Ma, *Mater. Lett.*, **180**, 207 (2016).
- S. K. Singh, V. M. Dhavale, and S. Kurungot, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **7**, 21138 (2015).
- Q. Yang, Z. Lu, X. Sun, and J. Liu, *Sci. Rep.*, **3**, 3537 (2013).
- P. Tan, B. Chen, H. Xu, W. Cai, W. He, M. Liu, Z. Shao, and M. Ni, *Small*, **14**, 1800225 (2018).
- D. U. Lee, J. Scott, H. W. Park, S. Abureden, J. Y. Choi, and Z. Chen, *Electrochem. Commun.*, **43**, 109 (2014).
- M. He, P. Zhang, S. Xu, and X. Yan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **8**, 23713 (2016).
- D. Su, S. Dou, and G. Wang, *Sci. Rep.*, **4**, 5767 (2014).
- J. Zhang, F. Liu, J. P. Cheng, and X. B. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **7**, 17630 (2015).
- N. Xu, J. Qiao, X. Zhang, C. Ma, S. Jian, Y. Liu, and P. Pei, *Appl. Energy*, **175**, 495 (2016).
- G. Du, X. Liu, Y. Zong, T. S. A. Hor, A. Yu, and Z. Liu, *Nanoscale*, **5**, 4657 (2013).
- J.-H. Zhong, A.-L. Wang, G.-R. Li, J.-W. Wang, Y.-N. Ou, and Y.-X. Tong, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **22**, 5656 (2012).
- Y. Liu, L.-J. Cao, C.-W. Cao, M. Wang, K.-L. Leung, S.-S. Zeng, T. F. Hung, C. Y. Chung, and Z.-G. Lu, *Chem. Commun.*, **50**, 14635 (2014).
- H. S. Jadhav, R. S. Kalubarme, C.-N. Park, J. Kim, and C.-J. Park, *Nanoscale*, **6**, 10071 (2014).
- G. Liu, L. Zhang, S. Wang, L.-X. Ding, and H. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **5**, 14530 (2017).
- T. Y. Ma, Y. Zheng, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec, and S. Z. Qiao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **2**, 8676 (2014).
- C. Wei, Z. Feng, G. G. Scherer, J. Barber, Y. Shao-Horn, and Z. J. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, **29**, 1606800 (2017).
- W. Sun, Y. Wang, H. Wu, Z. Wang, D. Rooney, and K. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, **53**, 8711 (2017).
- S. G. Mohamed, Y.-Q. Tsai, C.-J. Chen, Y.-T. Tsai, T.-F. Hung, W.-S. Chang, and R.-S. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **7**, 12038 (2015).
- S. Liu, D. Ni, H.-F. Li, K. N. Hui, C.-Y. Ouyang, and S. C. Jun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **6**, 10674 (2018).
- S. Zhang, X. Sun, Z. Zheng, and L. Zhang, *Catal. Commun.*, **113**, 19 (2018).
- P. Tan, B. Chen, H. Xu, W. Cai, W. He, and M. Ni, *Energy*, **166**, 1241 (2019).
- L. Zeng, T. S. Zhao, and L. An, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **3**, 1410 (2015).
- F. Meng, H. Zhong, D. Bao, J. Yan, and X. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **138**, 10226 (2016).
- G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B*, **47**, 558 (1993).
- G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, **6**, 15 (1996).
- J. P. J. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **77**, 3865 (1996).
- W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, **140**, A1133 (1965).
- G. P. Francis and M. C. Payne, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **2**, 4395 (1990).
- M. Bajdich, M. García-Mota, A. Vojvodic, J. K. Nørskov, and A. T. Bell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **135**, 13521 (2013).
- V. N. Antonov, B. N. Harmon, and A. N. Yaresko, *Phys. Rev. B*, **67**, 024417 (2003).
- A. Jain, G. Hautier, S. P. Ong, C. J. Moore, C. C. Fischer, K. A. Persson, and G. Ceder, *Phys. Rev. B*, **84**, 045115 (2011).
- Z. Zhu, J. Ping, X. Huang, J. Hu, Q. Chen, X. Ji, and C. E. Banks, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **47**, 503 (2012).
- D. Su, S. Dou, and G. Wang, *Sci. Rep.*, **4**, 5767 (2015).

55. V. I. Anisimov, in *Spectroscopy of Mott Insulators and Correlated Metals*, p. 106, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1995).
56. S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. B*, **57**, 1505 (1998).
57. N. P. Lebedeva, M. T. M. Koper, J. M. Feliu, and R. A. van Santen, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **106**, 12938 (2002).
58. D. Zhang, M. Wen, P. Zhang, J. Zhu, G. Li, and H. Li, *Langmuir*, **28**, 4543 (2012).
59. J. S. Chen, H. Liu, S. Z. Qiao, and X. W. (David) Lou, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **21**, 5687 (2011).
60. M. De Koninck, S.-C. Poirier, and B. Marsan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **153**, A2103 (2006).
61. Y. Ji, M. Yang, H. Dong, L. Wang, T. Hou, and Y. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **5**, 1734 (2017).
62. S. Suren and S. Kheawhom, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, A846 (2016).