

## Pullback attractor of 2D non-autonomous g-Navier-Stokes equations on some bounded domain\*

Jin-ping JIANG (姜金平)<sup>1,2</sup>, Yan-ren HOU (侯延仁)<sup>1</sup>

- (1. School of Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, P. R. China;
2. College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yan'an University, Yan'an 716000, Shaanxi Province, P. R. China)

(Communicated by Li-qun CHEN)

**Abstract** The existence of pullback attractors for the 2D non-autonomous g-Navier-Stokes equations on some bounded domains is investigated under the general assumptions of pullback asymptotic compactness. A new method to prove the existence of pullback attractors for the 2D g-Navier-Stokes equations is given.

**Key words** pullback attractor, g-Navier-Stokes equation, pullback asymptotical compact, pullback condition, bounded domain

**Chinese Library Classification** O175, O35

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification** 35B41, 37B55, 76D05

### 1 Introduction

Navier-Stokes equations have received increasing attention over the last decades due to their importance in the fluid motion and turbulence<sup>[1–7]</sup>. The understanding of the asymptotic behaviour of dynamical systems is one of the most important problems of modern mathematical physics. One way to treat this problem for a system with some dissipativity properties is to analyze the existence and structure of the global attractor<sup>[1–5,8–10]</sup>. At the same time, the theory of pullback attractors has been developed for both the non-autonomous systems and the random dynamical systems<sup>[11–19]</sup>. It is shown that the theory is very useful in the understanding of the dynamics of non-autonomous dynamical systems. In this paper, we study the existence of the pullback attractor of the g-Navier-Stokes (g-N-S) equations on the bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , which have the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = f(x, t) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \nabla \cdot (gu) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

---

\* Received Nov. 18, 2009 / Revised May 1, 2010

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10871156) and the Fund of Xi'an Jiaotong University (No. 2009xjtujc30)

Corresponding author Jin-ping JIANG, Associate Professor, Ph. D., E-mail: yadxjpp@163.com

where  $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $p(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$  denote the velocity and the pressure, respectively,  $\nu > 0$ ,  $f = f(x, t) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$  is the time-dependent external force, and  $0 < m_0 \leq g = g(x_1, x_2) \leq M_0$ . Here,  $g = g(x_1, x_2)$  is a suitable real-valued smooth function. When  $g = 1$ , the equations (1) become the usual 2D Navier-Stokes equations.

Now, some results have been obtained for the research on the global attractor of the autonomous 2D g-N-S equations<sup>[20–22]</sup>. In [20], Roh studied the existence of the 2D g-N-S equations on some bounded domain using the semiflow theory. In [21], Kwak et al. researched the Hausdorff and Fractal dimension of the global attractor about the 2D g-N-S equations for the periodic and Dirichlet boundary conditions. Moreover, the authors mainly studied the global attractor of the 2D g-N-S equations with linear dampness on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and the fractal dimension in [22]. From the research, we can see that the autonomous 2D g-N-S equations are studied, and the related research about the non-autonomous 2D g-N-S equations is still rare. We would like to use the theory of pullback attractors to study the non-autonomous dynamical system. Therefore, the present research is necessary and has a theoretical basis.

Recently, Caraballo et al. in [12] introduced the notion of pullback  $\mathfrak{D}$ -attractors for non-autonomous dynamical systems and proved the existence of pullback  $\mathfrak{D}$ -attractors by using the energy equation method. Obviously, it is hard to prove that a cocycle satisfies the above conditions. Motivated by the ideas in [17–19, 23], we present a new equivalent condition (pullback condition) for the pullback  $\mathfrak{D}$ -asymptotical compact by using the measure of non-compactness. It is easy to be verified for general non-autonomous dynamical systems. As the application of this method, we prove the existence of pullback attractors for the 2D g-N-S equations on some bounded domains.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notations and results for 2D g-N-S equations and the concept about the pullback asymptotic compactness. In Section 3, using the measure of non-compactness, we prove the existence of the pullback attractor for the 2D g-N-S equations on some bounded domain.

## 2 Preliminaries

Now, we assume that the Poincaré inequality holds on  $\Omega$ , i.e., there exists  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi^2 g dx \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 g dx, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (2)$$

The mathematical frameworks of (1) are as follows. Let  $L^2(g) = (L^2(\Omega))^2$  with the inner products

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot v g dx$$

and the norms

$$|\cdot| = (\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u, v \in L^2(g).$$

Let  $H_0^1(g) = (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ , which is endowed with the inner products

$$((u, v)) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^2 \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_j g dx$$

and the norms

$$\|\cdot\| = ((\cdot, \cdot))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u = (u_1, u_2), \quad v = (v_1, v_2) \in H_0^1(g).$$

From (2), the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the usual one in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Let  $D(\Omega)$  be the space of  $C^\infty$  functions with the compact support contained in  $\Omega$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph &= \{v \in (D(\Omega))^2 : \nabla \cdot gv = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}, \\ H_g &= \text{closure of } \aleph \text{ in } L^2(g), \\ V_g &= \text{closure of } \aleph \text{ in } H_0^1(g). \end{aligned}$$

With  $H_g$  and  $V_g$  endowed with the inner product and norm of  $L^2(g)$  and  $H_0^1(g)$ , respectively, it follows from (2) that

$$|u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|u\|^2, \quad \forall u \in V_g. \tag{3}$$

Now, we define a g-Laplacian operator as follows:

$$-\Delta_g u = -\frac{1}{g}(\nabla \cdot g\nabla)u = -\Delta u - \frac{1}{g}\nabla g \cdot \nabla u.$$

Using the g-Laplacian operator, we rewrite the first equation of (1) as follows:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta_g u + \nu \frac{\nabla g}{g} \cdot \nabla u + (u, \nabla)u + \nabla p = f. \tag{4}$$

We define a g-orthogonal projection

$$P_g : L^2(g) \rightarrow H_g$$

and a g-Stokes operator

$$A_g u = -P_g \left( \frac{1}{g}(\nabla \cdot (g\nabla u)) \right),$$

which satisfies the following proposition.

**Proposition 1**<sup>[20]</sup> *For the linear operator  $A_g$ , the following results hold:*

(i)  $A_g$  is a positive self-adjoint operator with compact inverse, where the domain of  $A_g$  is  $D(A_g) = V_g \cap H^2(\Omega)$ .

(ii) There exist countable eigenvalues of  $A_g$  satisfying  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$ , where  $\lambda_g = \frac{4\pi^2 m_0}{M_0}$ , and  $\lambda_1$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $A_g$ . In addition, there exists the corresponding collection of eigenfunctions  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$ , which forms an orthonormal basis for  $H_g$ .

When we apply the projection  $P_g$  into (4), we can obtain the following weak formulation of (1). Let  $f \in V_g$  and  $u_0 \in H_g$ . Then, we find that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_g) \cap L^2(0, T; V_g), \quad T > 0 \tag{5}$$

such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u, v) + \nu((u, v)) + b_g(u, u, v) + \nu(Ru, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in V_g, \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{6}$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \tag{7}$$

where  $b_g : V_g \times V_g \times V_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$b_g(u, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x} w_j g dx \tag{8}$$

and

$$Ru = P_g \left( \frac{1}{g} (\nabla g \cdot \nabla) u \right), \quad \forall u \in V_g.$$

Then, the weak formulation of (6) and (7) is equivalent to the functional equations

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \nu A_g u + Bu + \nu Ru = f, \tag{9}$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \tag{10}$$

where  $A_g : V_g \rightarrow V'_g$  is the g-Stokes operator defined by

$$\langle A_g u, v \rangle = ((u, v)), \quad \forall u, v \in V_g, \tag{11}$$

$B(u) = B(u, u) = P_g(u \cdot \nabla)u$  is a bilinear operator, and  $B : V_g \times V_g \rightarrow V'_g$  is defined by

$$\langle B(u, v), w \rangle = b_g(u, v, w), \quad \forall u, v, w \in V_g.$$

Now, we recall some well-known inequalities<sup>[24]</sup> that we will be using in what follows.

For every  $u, v \in D(A_g)$ ,

$$|B(u, v)| \leq C |u|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A_g u|^{\frac{1}{2}} |v|. \tag{12}$$

Here,  $C$  denotes the positive constant, which may be different from line to line and even in the same line.

$$|\varphi|_{L^\infty(\Omega)^2} \leq C \|\varphi\| \left( 1 + \log \frac{|A_g \varphi|^2}{\lambda_1 \|\varphi\|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall \varphi \in D(A_g), \tag{13}$$

from which we can deduce

$$|B(u, v)| \leq |(u \cdot \nabla)v| \leq |u|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |\nabla v|. \tag{14}$$

Using (13), we obtain

$$|B(u, v)| \leq C \|u\| \|v\| \left( 1 + \log \frac{|A_g u|^2}{\lambda_1 \|u\|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{15}$$

The g-Stokes operator  $A_g$  is an isomorphism from  $V_g$  into  $V'_g$ , while  $B$  and  $R$  satisfy the following inequalities<sup>[20,25]</sup>:

$$\|B(u)\|_{V'} \leq c |u| \|u\|, \quad \|Ru\|_{V'} \leq \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0 \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in V. \tag{16}$$

We have the following concept and result<sup>[26,6]</sup>.

**Proposition 2** *Given  $f \in L^2(g)$  and  $u_0(x) \in H_g$ , there exists a unique solution*

$$u(x, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_g) \cap L^2(0, T; V_g) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+; H_g), \quad \forall T > 0$$

such that (6) and (7) hold.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a nonempty set. We define a family  $\{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of mappings  $\theta_t : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  satisfying

- (i)  $\theta_0 \gamma = \gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ;
- (ii)  $\theta_t(\theta_\tau \gamma) = \theta_{t+\tau} \gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma, t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Here, the operators  $\theta_t$  are called the shift operators.

Let  $X$  be a metric space with distance  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ , i.e., a mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$  satisfying

- (i)  $\phi(0, \gamma, x) = x$  for all  $(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times X$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi(t + \tau, \gamma, x) = \phi(t, \theta_\tau \gamma, \phi(\tau, \gamma, x))$  for all  $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times X$ .

The  $\theta$ -cocycle  $\phi$  is said to be continuous if for all  $(t, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma$ , the mapping  $\phi(t, \gamma, \cdot) : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous. Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the family of all nonempty subsets of  $X$ , and  $\varphi$  the class of all families  $\tilde{D} = \{D(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let a nonempty subclass  $\mathcal{D} \subset \varphi$ .

**Definition 1** *The  $\theta$ -cocycle  $\phi$  is said to be pullback  $\mathcal{D}$ -asymptotically compact if for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , any  $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}$ , and any sequences  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $x_n \in D(\theta_{-t_n} \gamma)$ , the sequence  $\phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \gamma, x_n)$  possesses a convergent subsequence.*

**Definition 2** *A family  $\tilde{B} = \{B(\gamma); \gamma \in \Gamma\} \in \varphi$  is said to be pullback  $\mathcal{D}$ -absorbing if for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists  $t_0(\gamma, \tilde{D}) \geq 0$  such that*

$$\phi(t, \theta_{-t} \gamma, D(\theta_{-t} \gamma)) \subset B(\gamma) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0(\gamma, \tilde{D}).$$

We define the Hausdorff semi-distance between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  as

$$\text{dist}(C_1, C_2) = \sup_{x \in C_1} \inf_{y \in C_2} d(x, y) \text{ for } C_1, C_2 \subset X.$$

**Definition 3** *A family  $\tilde{A} = \{A(\gamma); \gamma \in \Gamma\} \in \varphi$  is said to be a pullback  $\mathcal{D}$ -attractor if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $A(\gamma)$  is compact for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
- (ii)  $\tilde{A}$  is pullback  $\mathcal{D}$ -attracting, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(\phi(t, \theta_{-t} \gamma, D(\theta_{-t} \gamma)), A(\gamma)) = 0 \text{ for all } \tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

- (iii)  $\tilde{A}$  is invariant, i.e.,

$$\phi(t, \gamma, A(\gamma)) = A(\theta_t \gamma) \text{ for any } (t, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma.$$

### 3 Existence of pullback attractor for 2D g-N-S equations on some bounded domains

In this section, we present the measure of non-compactness to prove the existence of pullback attractors of 2D g-N-S equations on bounded domains. First, we recall some basic notions about the measure of non-compactness<sup>[23]</sup>.

Let  $B(X)$  be the set of all bounded subsets of  $X$  and  $B \in B(X)$ . Its Kuratowski measure of non-compactness  $\alpha(B)$  is defined by

$$\alpha(B) = \inf\{\delta \mid B \text{ admits a finite cover by the set of diameter } \leq \delta\}.$$

It has the following properties<sup>[25,27]</sup>.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $B, B_1, B_2 \in B(X)$ . Then,*

- (i)  $\alpha(B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha(N(B, \varepsilon)) \leq 2\varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \overline{B}$  is compact.
- (ii)  $\alpha(B_1 + B_2) \leq \alpha(B_1) + \alpha(B_2)$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha(B_1) \leq \alpha(B_2)$  whenever  $B_1 \subset B_2$ .
- (iv)  $\alpha(B_1 \cup B_2) \leq \max\{\alpha(B_1), \alpha(B_2)\}$ .
- (v)  $\alpha(\overline{B}) = \alpha(B)$ .
- (vi) If  $B$  is a ball of radius  $\varepsilon$ , then  $\alpha(B) \leq 2\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 2** Let  $\cdots \supset F_n \supset F_{n+1} \supset \cdots$  be a sequence of non-empty closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\alpha(F_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is nonempty and compact.

We have some results<sup>[17]</sup>.

**Definition 4** Let  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ . A set  $B_0 \subset X$  is said to be a uniformly absorbing set for  $\phi$  if for any  $B \in B(X)$ , there exists  $T_0 = T_0(B) \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\phi(t, \gamma, B) \subset B_0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_0, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 5** Let  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ .  $\phi$  is said to be pullback  $\omega$ -limit compact if for any  $B \in B(X)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha\left(\bigcup \phi(t, \theta_{-t}(\gamma), B)\right) = 0.$$

**Definition 6** Let  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ . Define the pullback  $\omega$ -limit set  $\Lambda_\gamma(B)$  of  $B$  by the following form:

$$\Lambda_\gamma(B) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} \phi(t, \theta_{-t}(\gamma), B)}.$$

**Theorem 1** Let  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ . If  $\phi$  is continuous and possesses a uniformly absorbing set  $B_0$ . Then,  $\phi$  possesses a pullback attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  satisfying

$$A_\gamma = \Lambda_\gamma(B_0), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$$

if and only if it is pullback  $\omega$ -limit compact.

**Definition 7** Let  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ . A cocycle  $\phi$  is said to satisfy the pullback condition (PC) if for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma, B \in B(X)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $t_0 = t_0(\gamma, B, \varepsilon) \geq 0$  and a finite dimensional subspace  $X_1$  of  $X$  such that

- (i)  $P\left(\bigcup_{t \geq t_0} \phi(t, \theta_{-t}(\gamma), B)\right)$  is bounded.
- (ii)  $\left\| (I - P)\left(\bigcup_{t \geq t_0} \phi(t, \theta_{-t}(\gamma), x)\right) \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in B.$

Here,  $P : X \rightarrow X_1$  is a bounded projector.

**Theorem 2** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\phi$  be a  $\theta$ -cocycle on  $X$ . If  $\phi$  satisfies the PC, then  $\phi$  is pullback  $\omega$ -limit compact. Moreover, let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space. Then,  $\phi$  is pullback  $\omega$ -limit compact if and only if the PC holds.

Denote by  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$  the metrizable space of function  $f(s) \in X$  with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $X$  is locally two-power integrable in the Bochner sense. It is equipped with the local two-power mean convergence topology. Now, we apply the new method to prove the existence of pullback attractors for 2D g-N-S equations.

**Lemma 3** Suppose  $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, H_g)$  such that

$$|f|_b^2 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} |f(s)|^2 ds < \infty,$$

and  $u_0(x) \in H_g$ . Let  $u(x, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H_g) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, T, V_g) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+, H_g)$  ( $\forall t > 0$ ) be a weak solution of (1). Then, for all  $t \geq \tau$  and  $\sigma = \nu\lambda_1$ , the following estimates hold:

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq |u_0|^2 e^{-\sigma\gamma_0(t-\tau)} + R_1^2, \tag{17}$$

where  $R_1^2 = \sigma^{-1}(1 - e^{-\sigma\gamma_0})^{-1}|f|_b^2$  and  $\gamma_0 = 1 - 2\nu \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0\lambda_1^{1/2}}$  for sufficiently small  $|\nabla g|_\infty$ .

**Proof** Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of (1). Since  $u \in L^2(0, T; V_g)$  and  $u' \in L^2(0, T; V'_g)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 &= \langle u', u \rangle \\ &= \langle f - \nu A_g u - Bu - \nu Ru, u \rangle \\ &= \langle f, u \rangle - \nu \|u\|^2 - b_g(u, u, u) - \nu \left( \left( \frac{1}{g} \nabla g \cdot \nabla \right) u, u \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that  $b_g(u, u, u) = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 + 2\nu \|u\|^2 = 2\langle f, u \rangle - 2\nu \left( \left( \frac{\nabla g}{g} \cdot \nabla \right) u, u \right).$$

Applying the Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 + 2\nu \|u\|^2 &\leq \frac{|f|^2}{\nu \lambda_1} + \nu \lambda_1 |u|^2 + 2\nu \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0 \lambda_1^{1/2}} \|u\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|f|^2}{\nu \lambda_1} + \nu \|u\|^2 + 2\nu \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0 \lambda_1^{1/2}} \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 + \nu \|u\|^2 \leq \frac{|f|^2}{\nu \lambda_1} + 2\nu \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0 \lambda_1^{1/2}} \|u\|^2.$$

We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 + \nu \gamma_0 \|u\|^2 \leq \frac{|f|^2}{\nu \lambda_1},$$

where  $\gamma_0 = 1 - 2\nu \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0 \lambda_1^{1/2}}$  for sufficiently small  $|\nabla g|_\infty$ .

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 + \nu \lambda_1 \gamma_0 |u|^2 \leq \frac{|f|^2}{\nu \lambda_1}.$$

Let  $\sigma = \nu \lambda_1$ . Using Gronwall's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)|^2 &\leq |u_0|^2 e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-\tau)} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_\tau^t e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-s)} |f(s)|^2 ds \\ &\leq |u_0|^2 e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-\tau)} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left( \int_{t-1}^t e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-s)} |f(s)|^2 ds + \int_{t-2}^{t-1} e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-s)} |f(s)|^2 ds + \dots \right) \\ &\leq |u_0|^2 e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-\tau)} + \frac{1}{\sigma} (1 + e^{-\sigma \gamma_0} + e^{-2\sigma \gamma_0} + \dots) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} |f(s)|^2 ds \\ &\leq |u_0|^2 e^{-\sigma \gamma_0 (t-\tau)} + R_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_1^2 = \sigma^{-1} (1 - e^{-\sigma \gamma_0})^{-1} |f|_b^2$ .

For any  $f \in \Gamma$  and  $|f|_b^2 = |f_0|_b^2$ , using (17), we obtain that

$$B_0 = \{u \in H_g \mid |u| \leq 2R_1^2 \triangleq \rho_0^2\}$$

is the uniformly absorbing set in  $H_g$ .

**Lemma 4** Suppose  $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, H_g)$  such that

$$|f|_b^2 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} |f(s)|^2 ds < \infty,$$

and  $u_0(x) \in H_g$ . Let

$$u(x, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, V_g) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, T, D(A_g)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, V_g), \quad u'(x, t) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; H_g), \quad \forall t > 0$$

be a strong solution of (1). Then, for all  $t \geq \tau$ , the following estimates hold:

$$\|u(t)\|^2 \leq \|u(\tau)\|^2 e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + (1 - e^{-\beta})^{-1} |f|_b^2, \quad (18)$$

where  $\beta = \lambda(2\nu - 1 - \frac{2C\rho_0}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} - \frac{2\nu|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0\lambda_0^{1/2}})$  for sufficiently small  $|\nabla g|_\infty$ .

**Proof** We multiply (9) by  $-\Delta u(t)$  and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \nu |\Delta u|^2 = (f, -\Delta u) - (Bu, -\Delta u) - \nu (Ru, -\Delta u).$$

Using Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + 2\nu |\Delta u|^2 &= 2(f, -\Delta u) - 2(Bu, -\Delta u) - 2\nu (Ru, -\Delta u) \\ &\leq |f|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + 2|(Bu, -\Delta u)| + 2\nu |(Ru, -\Delta u)| \\ &\leq |f|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + 2|Bu||\Delta u| + 2\nu |Ru||\Delta u| \\ &\leq |f|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{2C}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} |u|^{1/2} |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{2\nu|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \|u\| |\Delta u| \\ &\leq |f|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{2C\rho_0}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{2\nu|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0\lambda_0^{1/2}} |\Delta u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \left(2\nu - 1 - \frac{2C\rho_0}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} - \frac{2\nu|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0\lambda_0^{1/2}}\right) |\Delta u|^2 \leq |f|^2.$$

Using the Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \lambda \left(2\nu - 1 - \frac{2C\rho_0}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} - \frac{2\nu|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0\lambda_0^{1/2}}\right) \|u\|^2 \leq |f|^2.$$

Let

$$\beta = \lambda \left(2\nu - 1 - \frac{2C\rho_0}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} - \frac{2\nu|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0\lambda_0^{1/2}}\right).$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \beta \|u\|^2 \leq |f|^2.$$



Applying Gronwall’s lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 &\leq \|u(\tau)\|^2 e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + \int_{\tau}^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} |f|^2 ds \\ \|u\|^2 &\leq \|u(\tau)\|^2 e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + \int_{t-1}^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} |f|^2 ds + \int_{t-2}^{t-1} e^{-\beta(t-s)} |f|^2 ds + \dots \\ \|u\|^2 &\leq \|u(\tau)\|^2 e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + (1 + e^{-\beta} + e^{-2\beta} + \dots) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} |f|^2 ds \\ \|u(\tau)\|^2 &e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + (1 - e^{-\beta})^{-1} |f|_b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$B_1 = \bigcup_{f \in \Gamma} \bigcup_{t > t_0 + 1} \phi(t_0 + 1, f, B_0).$$

Using (18), we know that  $B_1$  is bound,  $\|u\|^2 \leq \rho_1^2$  for all  $u \in B_1$ , and  $B_1$  is the uniformly absorbing set in  $V_g$ .

**Lemma 5** *Suppose that  $H_g$  is a Hilbert space, and  $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is orthonormal in  $H_g$ . Let  $f(x, t) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; H_g)$ , and suppose that there exists a  $\sigma > 0$  such that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\sigma s} \|f(x, s)\|^2_{H_g} ds < \infty$ . Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\sigma s} \|(I - P_m)f(x, s)\|^2_{H_g} ds = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{19}$$

where  $P_m : H_g \rightarrow \text{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is an orthogonal projector.

**Proof** Let  $\xi_i(t) = (f(x, t), \omega_i)_{H_g}$ . Then,

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i(t) \omega_i.$$

For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0$ , since

$$\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\sigma s} \|f(x, s)\|^2_{H_g} ds = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\sigma s} \|\xi_i(s)\|^2_{H_g} ds < \infty.$$

we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\sigma s} \|(I - P_m)f(x, s)\|^2_{H_g} ds = \sum_{i=N_0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\sigma s} \|\xi_i(s)\|^2_{H_g} ds < \varepsilon$$

for any  $n \geq N_0$  with the sufficiently large  $N_0$ .

**Theorem 3** *If  $f(x, t) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; H_g)$ , then the cocycle  $\{\phi(t, \gamma, x)\}$  corresponding to (1) possesses a compact pullback attractor*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} = \{\Lambda_\gamma(B_1)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma},$$

where  $B_1$  is the uniformly (w.r.t.  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) absorbing set in  $V_g$ .

**Proof** From Theorem 2, we only need to verify that the family of cocycles  $\{\phi(t, \gamma, x)\}$  satisfies the PC in  $V_g$ .

Since  $(-\Delta)^{-1}$  is a continuous compact operator in  $H_g$ , by the classical spectral theorem, there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , where

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_i \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \tag{20}$$

and a family of elements  $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $D(-\Delta)$  that are orthonormal in  $H_g$  such that

$$-\Delta\omega_j = \lambda_j\omega_j, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $V_m = \text{span}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m\}$  in  $V_g$  and  $P_m : V_g \rightarrow V_m$  be an orthogonal projector.

For any  $u \in D(-\Delta)$ , we write

$$u = P_m u + (I - P_m)u = u_1 + u_2.$$

Taking the inner product of (9) with  $-\Delta u_2$  in  $H_g$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_2\|^2 + \nu |\Delta u_2|^2 + (B(u), -\Delta u_2) + \nu (Ru, -\Delta u_2) = (f, -\Delta u_2).$$

Using Young's inequality, together with (12) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(B(u), -\Delta u_2)| &\leq |(B(u_1, u_1 + u_2), -\Delta u_2)| + |(B(u_2, u_1 + u_2), -\Delta u_2)| \\ &\leq cL^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_1\| \|\Delta u_2\| (\|u_1\| + \|u_2\|) + c|u_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Delta u_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|u_1\| + \|u_2\|) \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} |\Delta u_2|^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{c}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4, \quad t \geq t_0 + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\Delta u_1|^2 \leq \lambda_m \|u_1\|^2$ , and  $L = 1 + \log \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ru, -\Delta u_2)| &\leq |Ru| \cdot |\Delta u_2| \\ &\leq \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \|u\| \cdot |\Delta u_2| \\ &\leq \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \left( \frac{|\Delta u_2|^2}{2} + 2\|u\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \left( \frac{|\Delta u_2|^2}{2} + 2\rho_1^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (f, -\Delta u_2) &\leq \frac{|f|^2}{\nu} + \frac{\nu |\Delta u_2|^2}{4}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \|u_2\|^2 + 2\nu |\Delta u_2|^2 &\leq 2(f, -\Delta u_2) - 2(B(u), -\Delta u_2) - 2\nu (Ru, -\Delta u_2) \\ &\leq \frac{2|f|^2}{\nu} + \frac{\nu |\Delta u_2|^2}{2} + \frac{\nu}{2} |\Delta u_2|^2 + \frac{2c}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{2c}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \left( \frac{\nu |\Delta u_2|^2}{2} + \frac{2\rho_1^2}{\nu} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2|f|^2}{\nu} + \nu |\Delta u_2|^2 + \frac{\nu |\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} |\Delta u_2|^2 + \frac{2c}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{2c}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{4|\nabla g|_\infty}{\nu m_0} \rho_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_2\|^2 + \nu \left( 1 - \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \right) |\Delta u_2|^2 &\leq \frac{2|f|^2}{\nu} + \frac{2c}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{2c}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{4|\nabla g|_\infty}{\nu m_0} \rho_1^2, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \|u_2\|^2 + \nu \left( 1 - \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \right) |\Delta u_2|^2 &\leq 2c \left( \frac{1}{c\nu} |(I - P_m)f|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{1}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{c\nu m_0} \rho_1^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha = \left( 1 - \frac{|\nabla g|_\infty}{m_0} \right)$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_2\|^2 + \nu \lambda_{m+1} \alpha \|u_2\|^2 \leq 2c \left( \frac{1}{c\nu} |(I - P_m)f|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{1}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{c\nu m_0} \rho_1^2 \right).$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_2\|^2 &\leq \|u_2(t_0 + 1)\|^2 e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-(t_0+1))} + \int_{t_0+1}^t e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-s)} \left( 2c \left( \frac{1}{c\nu} |(I - P_m)f|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{1}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{c\nu m_0} \rho_1^2 \right) \right) ds \\
&= \|u_2(t_0 + 1)\|^2 e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-(t_0+1))} + 2c \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \rho_1^4 L + \frac{1}{\nu^3} \rho_0^2 \rho_1^4 + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{c\nu m_0} \rho_1^2 \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{t_0+1}^t e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-s)} ds + \frac{2}{\nu} \int_{t_0+1}^t e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-s)} |(I - P_m)f|^2 ds \\
&= \|u_2(t_0 + 1)\|^2 e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-(t_0+1))} + \frac{2c}{\nu^2 \lambda_{m+1} \alpha} \left( \rho_1^4 L + \frac{\rho_0^2 \rho_1^4}{\nu^2} + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{cm_0} \rho_1^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\nu} \int_{t_0+1}^t e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-s)} |(I - P_m)f|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By (17) and Lemma 4, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can take  $m + 1$  large enough such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{\nu} \int_{t_0+1}^t e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-s)} |(I - P_m)f|^2 ds &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\
\frac{2c}{\nu^2 \lambda_{m+1} \alpha} \left( \rho_1^4 L + \frac{\rho_0^2 \rho_1^4}{\nu^2} + \frac{2|\nabla g|_\infty}{cm_0} \rho_1^2 \right) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $t_2 = t_0 + 1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha \ln \frac{3\rho_1^2}{\varepsilon}}$ . Then,  $t \geq t_2$ . We have

$$\|u_2(t_0 + 1)\|^2 e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-(t_0+1))} \leq \rho_1^2 e^{-\nu\lambda_{m+1}\alpha(t-(t_0+1))} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|u_2(t)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \geq t_2,$$

which indicates that the family  $\{\phi(t, \gamma, x)\}$  in  $V_g$  satisfies the PC in  $V_g$ . Applying Theorem 2, the proof is completed.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank the editor and the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] Abergel, F. Attractor for a Navier-Stokes flow in an unbounded domain. *Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **23**(3), 359–370 (1989)
- [2] Babin, A. V. The attractor of a Navier-Stokes system in an unbounded channel-like domain. *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.* **4**(4), 555–584 (1992)
- [3] Rosa, R. The global attractor for the 2D-Navier-Stokes flow in some unbounded domain. *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications* **32**(1), 71–85 (1998)
- [4] Cheban, D. N. and Duan, J. Almost periodic solutions and global attractors of non-autonomous Navier-Stokes equation. *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.* **16**(1), 1–34 (2004)
- [5] Raugel, G. and Sell, G. R. Navier-Stokes equations on thin 3D domains (I), global attractors and global regularity of solutions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**(3), 503–568 (1993)
- [6] Temam, R. *Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence (2001)
- [7] Temam, R. Infinite-dimensional dynamical system in mechanics and physics. *Appl. Math. Sci.* Vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1988)

- 
- [8] Babin, A. V. and Vishik, M. I. Attractors of partial differential evolution equations in an unbounded domain. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **116**(A), 221–243 (1990)
  - [9] Constantin, P., Foias, C., and Temam, R. Attractor representing turbulent flows. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53**(314), 1–67 (1985)
  - [10] Cheban, D. N. *Global Attractors of Non-autonomous Dissipative Dynamical Systems*, World Scientific, Singapore (2004)
  - [11] Caraballo, T., Kloeden, P. E., and Marin-Rubio, P. Global and pullback attractor of set-valued skew product flows. *Ann. Mat.* **185**(2), S23–S45 (2006)
  - [12] Caraballo, T., Lukaszewicz, G., and Real, J. Pullback attractors for asymptotically compact non-autonomous dynamical systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **64**(3), 484–498 (2006)
  - [13] Caraballo, T., Kloeden, P. E., and Real, J. Pullback and forward attractors for a damped wave equation with delays. *Stochastics and Dynamics* **4**(3), 405–423 (2004)
  - [14] Caraballo, T., Real, J., and Chueshov, I. D. Pullback attractors for stochastic heat equations in materials with memory. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Ser. B* **9**(3), 525–539 (2008)
  - [15] Kloeden, P. E. Pullback attractors in non-autonomous difference equations. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* **6**(1), 33–52 (2000)
  - [16] Kloeden, P. E. Pullback attractors of non-autonomous semi-dynamical systems. *Stoch. Dyn.* **3**(1), 101–112 (2003)
  - [17] Wang, Y. J., Zhong, C. K., and Zhou, S. F. Pullback attractors of non-autonomous dynamical systems. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **16**(3), 587–614 (2006)
  - [18] Song, H. T. and Wu, H. Q. Pullback attractor of non-autonomous reaction-diffusion equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **325**(2), 1200–1215 (2007)
  - [19] Li, Y. J. and Zhong, C. K. Pullback attractors for the norm-to-weak continuous process and application to the non-autonomous reaction-diffusion equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **190**(2), 1020–1029 (2007)
  - [20] Roh, J. *g-Navier-Stokes Equations*, University of Minnesota (2001)
  - [21] Kwak, M., Kwean, H., and Roh, J. The dimension of attractor of the 2D g-Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **315**(2), 436–461 (2006)
  - [22] Jiang, J. P. and Hou, Y. R. The global attractor of g-Navier-Stokes equations with linear dampness on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . *Appl. Math. Comput.* **215**(3), 1068–1076 (2009)
  - [23] Zhong, C. K., Yang, M. H., and Sun, C. Y. The existence of global attractors for the norm-to-weak continuous semi-group and application to the non-linear reaction-diffusion equations. *Journal of Differential Equations* **223**(2), 367–399 (2006)
  - [24] Foias, C. and Teman, R. Finite parameter approximative structures of actual flows. *Nonlinear Problems: Present and Future* (eds. Biship, A. R., Campbell, D. K., and Nicolaenco, B.), North Holland, Amsterdam (1982)
  - [25] Sell, G. R. and You, Y. Dynamics of evolutionary equations. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 143, Springer-Verlag, New York (2002)
  - [26] Bae, H. and Roh, J. Existence of solutions of the g-Navier-Stokes equations. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **8**(1), 85–102 (2004)
  - [27] Hale, J. K. *Asymptotic Behaviour of Dissipative Dynamical Systems*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1988)
  - [28] Hou, Y. R. and Li, K. T. The uniform attractor for the 2D non-autonomous Navier-Stokes flow in some unbounded domain. *Nonlinear Anal.* **58**(5-6), 609–630 (2004)
  - [29] Roh, J. Dynamics of the g-Navier-stokes equations. *Journal of Differential Equations* **211**(2), 452–484 (2005)